| This report has been prepared by Goldman Sachs Asset Management International ("GSAMI") for the calendar year ending 31 December 2018 (the "Reporting Period"), in accordance with Article 65(6) of Commission Delegated Regulation 2017/565 and Article 27(6) of Directive 2014/65/EU ("MiFID II"), which require GSAMI to annually publish (i) the top five venues where it executed clients |
|--|
| orders; and (ii) the top five firms to whom it transmitted or placed client orders for execution, in respect of each class of financial instruments noted below. This report also provides information on |
| GSAMI's assessment of the quality of execution it obtained from these execution venues and firms (based on its internal monitoring), for each class of financial instruments. Where data for financial instruments was sourced from a third party, it may have been incomplete or inconsistent with the calculation methodology used for other financial instruments. |
| This report only covers the trading activities of GSAMI and not of any of its affiliates or the wider Goldman Sachs group. The information set out in this report also covers GSAMI's past trading activities and is not necessarily reflective of GSAMI's current or future trading activities and should not be relied on as such. |
| Please note that this document is not marketing or promotional material, and has been prepared and published on GSAM's website solely to ensure compliance with MiFID II disclosure requirements. GSAMI is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority." |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Goldman Sachs Asset Management International: Best Execution Reports under RTS 28

Equities Shares & Depositary Receipts

Reporting Entity: GSAMI
Top Five Venue Report
Type of Client: Professional Client
Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| class of Instrument | Equities - Shares & Depositary Rece | eipts - Tick size liquidity bands 1 and 2 | 2 (from 0 to 79 trades per day) | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| lotification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Top Five Broker Report
Type of Client: Professional Client
Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equities - Shares & Depositary Rece | ipts - Tick size liquidity bands 1 and 2 | 2 (from 0 to 79 trades per day) | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 32.78 | 17.83 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 549300XG5LFGN1IGYC71 - VIRTU FINANCIAL IRELAND LIMITED | 27.25 | 46.51 | . N/A | N/A | N/A |
| B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27 - BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 17.59 | 13.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 8NAV47T0Y26Q87Y0QP81 - MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED | 14.06 | 16.33 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| DL6FFRRLF74S01HE2M14 - CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (EUROPE) LIMITED | 5.27 | 3.16 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Equities Shares & Depositary Receipts

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client
Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equities - Shares & Depositary Rece | eipts - Tick size liquidity bands 3 and ه | 4 (from 80 to 1999 trades per day) | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Top Five Broker Report
Type of Client: Professional Client
Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equities - Shares & Depositary Rece | ipts - Tick size liquidity bands 3 and 4 | from 80 to 1999 trades per day) | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 33.14 | 10.97 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 549300XG5LFGN1IGYC71 - VIRTU FINANCIAL IRELAND LIMITED | 30.15 | 51.57 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27 - BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 13.82 | 6.84 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 8NAV47T0Y26Q87Y0QP81 - MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED | 12.37 | 9.26 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| DL6FFRRLF74S01HE2M14 - CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (EUROPE) LIMITED | 8.66 | 18.09 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Equities Shares & Depositary Receipts

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equities - Shares & Depositary Receipts - Tick size liquidity bands 5 and 6 (from 2000 trades per day) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equities - Shares & Depositary Rece | ipts - Tick size liquidity bands 5 and 6 | (from 2000 trades per day) | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| 549300XG5LFGN1IGYC71 - VIRTU FINANCIAL IRELAND LIMITED | 70.07 | 71.83 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| DL6FFRRLF74S01HE2M14 - CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (EUROPE) LIMITED | 14.22 | 19.64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| E58DKGMJYYYJLN8C3868 - CREDIT SUISSE INTERNATIONAL | 10.52 | 6.88 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 4.26 | 0.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| 8NAV47T0Y26Q87Y0QP81 - MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED | 0.87 | 0.58 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity Price
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Speed o Cost
- Coverage
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead/after of a data event, company meeting or impacting news

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price o Liquidity
- o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organization, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received. • GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred. There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. However, in a move to prepare the market for MiFID II, a number of new execution venues appeared within the equities market and these venues started seeing volumes increase towards the end of the year. Examples include rise of periodic auctions, MTFs, SIs and LIS venue types. • GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the

- 'Approved List"). • The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the broker or execution venue's:
- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;

| creditworthiness; reputation; financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. • N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Debt instruments: (i) Bonds

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Top Five Venue Report | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Type of Client: Professional Client | | | | | |
| Reporting Entity: GSAMI | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Class of Instrument | Debt instruments: (i) Bonds | | | | |
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a | Proportion of orders executed as | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| | | | | | |

| Class of Instrument | Debt instruments: (i) Bonds | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| TREU - TRADEWEB EUROPE LIMITED | 57.37 | 27.65 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| MAEL - MARKETAXESS EUROPE LIMITED | 40.13 | 71.51 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| BMTF - BLOOMBERG TRADING FACILITY LIMITED | 2.51 | 0.84 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Debt instruments: (i) Bonds | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493 - CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS LIMITED | 11.67 | 9.33 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| RR3QWICWWIPCS8A4S074 - NATWEST MARKETS PLC | 9.91 | 2.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| G5GSEF7VJP5I7OUK5573 - BARCLAYS BANK PLC | 7.27 | 6.79 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| MP6I5ZYZBEU3UXPYFY54 - HSBC BANK PLC | 6.54 | 4.96 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| GGDZP1UYGU9STUHRDP48 - MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL | 6.32 | 4.98 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

(a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fixed Income GovT/Agency Desk -
- Size
- Price o Liquidity
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost o Speed
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead of a data event.

High Yield Desk -

- Size
- Price Speed
- Liquidity o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Likelihood of settlement

Credit events may lead to quick and significant price deterioration in the market which may require urgent action and therefore, speed may become the primary factor.

Investment Grade Desk -

- Size
- Price Speed
- Liquidity
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Likelihood of settlement Credit events may lead to quick and significant price deterioration in the market which may require urgent action and therefore, speed may become the primary factor.

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity
- o Speed o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the Order Likelihood of settlement

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

• Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.

- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.

• GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)

Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred. • There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018.

• GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the "Approved List").

• The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.

• The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative and qualitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the broker or execution venue's:

competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;

promptness of execution;

clearance and settlement capabilities;

quality of service;

willingness to commit capital;

creditworthiness;

reputation;

financial stability;

(e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements.

GSAMI only has professional clients.

(f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

• GSAMI only has professional clients.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU.

• GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes

(h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances.

• N/A

Debt instruments: (ii) Money markets instruments

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Debt instruments: (ii) Money marke | ets instruments | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | Υ | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| REU - TRADEWEB EUROPE LIMITED | 84.17 | 55.83 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| MTF - BLOOMBERG TRADING FACILITY LIMITED | 15.83 | 44.17 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| | Debt instruments: (ii) Money marke | ts instruments | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| 1VUV7VQFKUOQSJ21A208 - CREDIT AGRICOLE CORPORATE AND INVESTMENT BANK | 48.27 | 26.01 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32 - J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC | 21.1 | 16.21 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| NICH5Q04ADUV9SN3Q390 - BRED BANQUE POPULAIRE | 4.28 | 5.7 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 6B2PBRV1FCJDMR45RZ53 - KBC BANK NV | 3.58 | 1.33 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| DG3RU1DBUFHT4ZF9WN62 - COOEPERATIEVE RABOBANK U.A. | 2.92 | 5.95 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Money Market Desk -
- Liquidity Price
- Balance Sheet
- o Likelihood of settlement
- o Speed o Size
- o Nature of Order
- Likelihood of execution
- Cost

Size and likelihood of execution may become the primary execution factors due to inventory being required.

Fixed Income GovT/Agency Desk -

- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Speed Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement

Size and likelihood of execution may become the primary execution factors due to inventory being required.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C. GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd
- c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018.

• GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues (including brokers, and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the "Approved List").

• The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.

• The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the

- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;

| reputation;financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. • N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Interest rates derivatives: (i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Interest rates derivatives: (i) Future | es and options admitted to trading or | n a trading venue | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Interest rates derivatives: (i) Future | s and options admitted to trading on | a trading venue | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32 - J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC | 64.39 | 48.18 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 15.26 | 21.87 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ROMUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83 - BNP PARIBAS-LONDON BRANCH | 5.46 | 0.36 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| REYPIEJN7XZHSUI0N355 - UBS LIMITED | 3.16 | 2.16 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4PQUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653 - MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC | 2.04 | 15.96 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fixed Income GovT/Agency Desk -
- Size
- Price o Liquidity
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Speed
- Nature of Order Likelihood of settlement
- Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead of a data event.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

- There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. • GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the 'Approved List").
- The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the
- broker or execution venue's: competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution; clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;
- reputation; financial stability;

(e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements.

GSAMI only has professional clients.

f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

GSAMI only has professional clients.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU.

GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes

| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other |
|--|
| algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. |
| • N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Interest rates derivatives: (ii) Swaps, forwards, and other interest rates derivatives

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Interest rates derivatives: (ii) Corea | e formulated and other laterest rate of | aui. ati. aa* | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Class of Instrument | interest rates derivatives: (ii) Swaps | s, forwards, and other Interest rate d | erivatives | | | | |
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | - | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| TWSF - TW SEF LLC | 100.00 | 100.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Interest rates derivatives: (ii) Swaps | s, forwards, and other Interest rate d | erivatives | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| 7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97 - JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 19.10 | 12.45 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| G5GSEF7VJP5I7OUK5573 - BARCLAYS BANK PLC | 16.54 | 11.91 | . N/A | N/A | N/A |
| B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27 - BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 11.49 | 4.67 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4PQUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653 - MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC | 8.67 | 11.07 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76 - CITIBANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 8.44 | 9.65 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

(a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. • GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Emerging Market Desk -
- Liquidity Size
- Price o Size
- o Cost
- Balance sheet Nature of order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Speed may become the primary execution factor in a fast market when trading on NPV.
- Fixed Income GovT/Agency Desk -
- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost o Speed
 - Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement

iquidity may become the primary factor due to a broker having a particular flow resulting in a greater amount of risk being transferred.

- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity
- Price
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Speed o Cost
- Coverage
- Nature of Order Likelihood of settlement
- ikelihood of execution may become the primary factor due to a data event and a need for faster execution.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI; (ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited • Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C. GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd
- (c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

- d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred. There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018.
- GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the 'Approved List").
- The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the broker or execution venue's:

| • competitiveness of commission rates or spreads; |
|---|
| • promptness of execution; |
| • clearance and settlement capabilities; |
| • quality of service; |
| • willingness to commit capital; |
| • creditworthiness; |
| • reputation; |
| • financial stability; |
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. |
| • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. |
| • N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Credit derivatives (i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue Reporting Entity: GSAMI Top Five Venue Report Type of Client: Professional Client Reporting Entity: GSAMI Credit derivatives (i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue Class of Instrument Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year N/A Proportion of volume traded as a Percentage of aggressive orders Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) Proportion of orders executed as Percentage of passive orders Percentage of directed orders percentage of total in that class percentage of total in that class N/A Top Five Broker Report Type of Client: Professional Client Reporting Entity: GSAMI Credit derivatives (i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue Class of Instrument Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) Percentage of passive orders Proportion of volume traded as a Proportion of orders executed as Percentage of aggressive orders Percentage of directed orders percentage of total in that class percentage of total in that class N/A Goldman Sachs Asset Management International does not trade credit related futures or options that are admitted to trading on trading venues with clients.

Credit derivatives: (ii) Other credit derivatives

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Credit derivatives: (ii) Other credit | derivatives | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| TWSF - TW SEF LLC | 78.49 | 16.89 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BBSF - BLOOMBERG SEF LLC | 21.50 | 83.01 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BMTF - BLOOMBERG TRADING FACILITY LIMITED | 0.01 | 0.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| | Credit derivatives: (ii) Other credit o | lerivatives | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76 - CITIBANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 25.78 | 24.23 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97 - JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 20.35 | 19.07 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| G5GSEF7VJP5I7OUK5573 - BARCLAYS BANK PLC | 19.83 | 21.65 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27 - BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 9.83 | 10.31 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT | 9.62 | 11.86 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

(a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Emerging Market Desk-
- Liquidity Size
- Price o Size
- o Cost
- Balance sheet Nature of order
- Likelihood of settlement

Market access to certain otherwise inaccessible markets (e.g. EM Frontier markets).may change the order of certain execution factors.

High Yield Desk –

- Size
- Price Speed
- Liquidity o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Likelihood of settlement

Liquidity may become the primary factor due to a broker having a particular flow resulting in a greater amount of risk being transferred.

Investment Grade Desk –

- Size
- Price Speed
- Liquidity
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Likelihood of settlement

Liquidity may become the primary factor due to a broker having a particular flow resulting in a greater amount of risk being transferred.

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity
- o Speed o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

• GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates. GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may: (i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)

• Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

• There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018.

- GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the "Approved List").
- The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative and qualitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the broker or execution venue's:
- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;
- reputation;
- financial stability;

(e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements.

GSAMI only has professional clients.

(f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

• GSAMI only has professional clients.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU.

• GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes

(h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances.

• N/A

Currency derivatives: (i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Currency derivatives: (i) Futures an | d options admitted to trading on a tr | ading venue | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Currency derivatives: (i) Futures and | d options admitted to trading on a tra | ading venue | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | Υ | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| 7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97 - JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 23.17 | 14.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| G5GSEF7VJP5I7OUK5573 - BARCLAYS BANK PLC | 17.03 | 15.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| RR3QWICWWIPCS8A4S074 - NATWEST MARKETS PLC | 15.48 | 17.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76 - CITIBANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 12.07 | 10.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| BFM8T61CT2L1QCEMIK50 - UBS AG | 10.34 | 8.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity
- Price
- o Likelihood of execution o Speed
- o Cost Coverage
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement
- ikelihood of execution may become the primary factor due to the nature of the order.
- Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-
- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk
- This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited) • Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received. • GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred. • There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. However, in a move to prepare the market for MiFID II, a number of new execution venues appeared within the equities market and these venues started seeing volumes increase

towards the end of the year. Examples include rise of periodic auctions, MTFs, SIs and LIS venue types. GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues and brokers (the

"Approved List"). • The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did

not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed. • The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the

- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;

| reputation;financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. N/A |
| |
| |

Currency derivatives: (ii) Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Currency derivatives: (ii) Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| TRAL - REFINITIV TRANSACTIONS SERVICES LIMITED | 73.25 | 53.08 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| BMTF - BLOOMBERG TRADING FACILITY LIMITED | 26.27 | 36.68 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| BBSF - BLOOMBERG SEF LLC | 0.27 | 7.33 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| FXAL - FXALL | 0.21 | 2.91 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Currency derivatives: (ii) Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| 4PQUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653 - MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC | 16.03 | 14.16 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| 7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97 - JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 10.10 | 6.91 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| BFM8T61CT2L1QCEMIK50 - UBS AG | 9.54 | 5.98 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 8.64 | 9.69 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76 - CITIBANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 8.06 | 9.69 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fixed Income Currency Desk –
- Liquidity Provision o Impact
- Slippage/Price
- Speed Likelihood of execution
- Coverage
- Nature of order
- o Likelihood of settlement

o Risk diversification/counterparty risk Speed may become the primary factor to react to a data event or headline. Diversifying counterparty risk when trading hedges.

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. • GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

• GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI; (ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred. As pricing and functionality improved throughout 2018, GSAM saw an increase of FX trading volume through e-platforms.

- GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the
- The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.

The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the broker or execution venue's:

- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;

| creditworthiness; reputation; financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Structured finance instruments

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Structured finance instruments | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| MAEL - MARKETAXESS EUROPE LIMITED | 94.88 | 96.87 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| REU - TRADEWEB EUROPE LIMITED | 5.12 | 3.13 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Structured finance instruments | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | Υ | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | · | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32 - J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC | 55.00 | 39.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| GGDZP1UYGU9STUHRDP48 - MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL | 11.74 | 8.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ROMUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83 - BNP PARIBAS | 9.58 | 4.80 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| KX1WK48MPD4Y2NCUIZ63 - NATIXIS | 5.02 | 3.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493 - CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS LIMITED | 4.98 | 10.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity
- Price
- o Likelihood of execution o Speed
- o Cost Coverage
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement

Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead/after of a data event, company meeting or impacting news

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organization, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. • Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received. • GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred. There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. However, in a move to prepare the market for MiFID II, a number of new execution venues appeared within the equities market and these venues started seeing volumes increase towards the end of the year. Examples include rise of periodic auctions, MTFs, SIs and LIS venue types. • GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the

- 'Approved List"). • The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the
- broker or execution venue's: competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;

| creditworthiness; reputation; financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Equity Derivatives: (i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equity Derivatives: (i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | N/A Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equity Derivatives: (i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 51.55 | 47.52 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| E58DKGMJYYYJLN8C3868 - CREDIT SUISSE INTERNATIONAL | 24.97 | 39.74 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| 0IKLU6X1B10WK7X42C15 - SOCIETE GENERALE INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 7.99 | 5.98 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32 - J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC | 6.59 | 2.48 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| ROMUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83 - BNP PARIBAS-LONDON BRANCH | 3.27 | 0.12 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity
- Price
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Speed o Cost
- Coverage Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement
- ikelihood of execution may become the primary factor due to the nature of the order.

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity
- o Speed o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited) • Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd
- (c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received. • GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

- There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. However, in a move to prepare the market for MiFID II, a number of new execution venues appeared within the equities market and these venues started seeing volumes increase towards the end of the year. Examples include rise of periodic auctions, MTFs, SIs and LIS venue types.
- GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues and brokers (the "Approved List"). • The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did
- not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed. • The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the
- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;

| reputation;financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. N/A |
| |
| |

Equity Derivatives: (ii) Swaps and other equity derivatives

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | Equity Derivatives: (ii) Swaps and other equity derivatives N/A | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Equity Derivatives: (ii) Swaps and other equity derivatives | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| 7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97 - JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 41.80 | 41.78 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT | 41.78 | 46.04 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76 - CITIBANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 12.69 | 11.11 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27 - BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 1.91 | 0.24 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| GGDZP1UYGU9STUHRDP48 - MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL | 1.80 | 0.69 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity Price
- o Likelihood of execution o Speed
- o Cost Coverage
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement

Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead or after a data event.

- Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-
- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders. GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C. GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

• There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. However, in a move to prepare the market for MiFID II, a number of new execution venues appeared within the equities market and these venues started seeing volumes increase towards the end of the year. Examples include rise of periodic auctions, MTFs, SIs and LIS venue types.

GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues and brokers (the "Approved List"). • The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did

not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed. • The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the

- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;

| reputation;financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. N/A |
| |
| |

Securitized Derivatives: (i) Warrants and Certificate Derivatives

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Securitized Derivatives: (i) Warrants and Certificate Derivatives Y | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| IAEL - MARKETAXESS EUROPE LIMITED | 100.00 | 100.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Securitized Derivatives: (i) Warrants and Certificate Derivatives | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity Price
- o Likelihood of execution o Speed
- o Cost Coverage
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead/after of a data event, company meeting or impacting news

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

- GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.
- GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:
- (i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

• There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. • GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the 'Approved List").

• The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did

- not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the
- broker or execution venue's: competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities; quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;
- reputation; financial stability;

(e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. GSAMI only has professional clients.

f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

GSAMI only has professional clients.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU.

GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes

| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other |
|--|
| algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. |
| • N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Securitized Derivatives: (ii) Other securitized derivatives Reporting Entity: GSAMI Top Five Venue Report Type of Client: Professional Client Reporting Entity: GSAMI Class of Instrument Securitized Derivatives: (ii) Other securitized derivatives Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year N/A Proportion of volume traded as a Percentage of aggressive orders Percentage of directed orders Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) Proportion of orders executed as Percentage of passive orders percentage of total in that class percentage of total in that class N/A Top Five Broker Report Type of Client: Professional Client Reporting Entity: GSAMI Class of Instrument Securitized Derivatives: (ii) Other securitized derivatives Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) Percentage of passive orders Percentage of aggressive orders Proportion of volume traded as a Proportion of orders executed as Percentage of directed orders percentage of total in that class percentage of total in that class N/A Goldman Sachs Asset Management International does not execute client orders in Other Securitized Derivatives.

Commodities derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives: (i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue

Reporting Entity: GSAMI Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | Commodities derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives: (i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue N/A | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Commodities derivatives and emiss | Commodities derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives: (i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | |
| WOPZ3KWZW5JSJMG3U094 - R.J. O'BRIEN & ASSOCIATES, LLC | 34.47 | 28.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| 7TK5RJIZDFROZCA6XF66 - MIZUHO SECURITIES USA LLC | 22.33 | 22.75 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| 0IKLU6X1B10WK7X42C15 - SOCIETE GENERALE INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 19.75 | 19.48 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32 - J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC | 14.63 | 19.09 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| VYVVCKR63DVZZN70PB21 - WELLS FARGO SECURITIES, LLC | 7.91 | 9.07 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity
- Price
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Speed o Cost
- Coverage
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead or after a data event.

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price o Liquidity
- o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

- · GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.
- GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited) • Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

• There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. However, in a move to prepare the market for MiFID II, a number of new execution venues appeared within the equities market and these venues started seeing volumes increase towards the end of the year. Examples include rise of periodic auctions, MTFs, SIs and LIS venue types. • GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the

• The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did

not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.

The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the broker or execution venue's:

competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;

- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;

| creditworthiness; reputation; financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. • N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Commodities derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives: (ii) Other commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives

Reporting Entity: GSAMI Top Five Venue Report Type of Client: Professional Client Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Commodities derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives: (ii) Other commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Top Five Broker Report | | | | | | | |
| Type of Client: Professional Client | | | | | | | |
| Reporting Entity: GSAMI | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Class of Instrument | Commodities derivatives and emiss | sion allowances Derivatives: (ii) Othe | er commodities derivatives and emi | ssion allowances derivatives | | | |
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| 7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97 - JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 100.00 | 100.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- costs;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity Price
- o Likelihood of execution o Speed
- o Cost Coverage
- Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Speed may become the primary factor due to an interest to trade ahead or after a data event.

Alternative Investment Strategies / Customized Macro desk-

- Size
- Price
- o Liquidity o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution o Cost
- o Mandate Funding Profile
- Nature of the order
- Likelihood of settlement
- Operational Risk

This trading desk does not change the importance of execution factors. If a major data event is declared, the trading desk will execute at the next feasible point.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

- · GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.
- GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:

(i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C. GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

(d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

• There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. However, in a move to prepare the market for MiFID II, a number of new execution venues appeared within the equities market and these venues started seeing volumes increase towards the end of the year. Examples include rise of periodic auctions, MTFs, SIs and LIS venue types. GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues (including brokers) for execution venues and brokers (the

"Approved List"). • The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.

• The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the

competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;

- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service; willingness to commit capital;

| reputation;financial stability; |
|--|
| (e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client. • GSAMI only has professional clients. |
| (g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU. • GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes |
| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. N/A |
| |
| |

Contracts for difference

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client
Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | Contracts for difference N/A | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Reporting Entity: GSAMI
Type of Client: Professional Client
Professional Client

| Class of Instrument | Contracts for difference | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| Goldman Sachs Asset Management International does not execute client orders in Contracts for Difference | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Exchange traded products (Exchange traded funds, exchange traded notes and exchange traded commodities)

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Exchange traded products (Exchange traded funds, exchange traded notes and exchange traded commodities) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Exchange traded products (Exchange traded funds, exchange traded notes and exchange traded commodities)* | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | <u> </u> | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27 - BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION | 47.88 | 25.46 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 34.21 | 52.23 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 8NAV47T0Y26Q87Y0QP81 - MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED | 15 | 15.49 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 549300XG5LFGN1IGYC71 - VIRTU FINANCIAL IRELAND LIMITED | 2.34 | 1.84 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| E58DKGMJYYYJLN8C3868 - CREDIT SUISSE INTERNATIONAL | 0.48 | 1.31 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity Price
- o Speed
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Cost
- Coverage Nature of Order
- Likelihood of settlement

likelihood of execution may become the primary factor due to a data event or the size of the order.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

- GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.
- GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:
- (i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

- There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. • GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the 'Approved List").
- The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the
- broker or execution venue's: competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution; clearance and settlement capabilities;
- quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness; reputation;
- financial stability;

(e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. GSAMI only has professional clients.

f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

GSAMI only has professional clients.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU.

GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes

| (h) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other algorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. • N/A |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Emission Allowances

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report
Type of Client: Professional Client
Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Emission Allowances | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

Reporting Entity: GSAMI
Type of Client: Professional Client
Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Emission Allowances | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| N/A – Emission | n Allowances will be addressed under the | MiFID II Commodities Derivatives and Emission | Allowances Derivatives report | | |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Other instruments

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

Top Five Venue Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| Class of Instrument | Other instruments | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N/A | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Top Five Broker Report

Type of Client: Professional Client

Reporting Entity: GSAMI

| | Other instruments | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | N | | | | |
| | • | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| VYVVCKR63DVZZN70PB21 - WELLS FARGO SECURITIES, LLC | 44.67 | 20.08 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32 - J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC | 17.26 | 14.78 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| WOPZ3KWZW5JSJMG3U094 - R.J. O'BRIEN & ASSOCIATES, LLC | 15.06 | 16.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| MBNUM2BPBDO7JBLYG310 - CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC. | 8.69 | 1.01 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86 - DEUTSCHE BANK AG-LONDON BRANCH | 5.71 | 11.58 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

a) An explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when making assessments of the quality of execution. GSAMI took into account a range of factors in deciding how and where to execute client orders, including, but not limited to:

- price;
- timing and speed of execution;
- likelihood of execution, clearance and settlement;
- capabilities in execution, clearance and settlement;
- size;
- liquidity in or with a broker;
- nature of the order;
- nature of the instrument (e.g. bespoke or standardized); or
- other appropriate factors, such as a broker's willingness to commit capital and the availability of external venues for the order or a particular product.
- In determining the relative importance of factors considered, GSAMI took into account:
- the nature of client orders,
- the characteristics of the financial instruments to which the order relates; and
- the characteristics of the available execution venues which can be used or to which client orders can be directed.
- In addition, although different execution strategies were used on a trade-by-trade basis (dependent on factors such as market conditions, liquidity, investment strategy and client guidelines), the ranking of the execution factors was often determined by investment objectives for the strategy, the type of product to be traded, the rationale for the trade (e.g. cash flow or change in analyst view) and other appropriate considerations.
- The relative importance of execution factors of different trading desks:
- Fundamental Equity / Quantitative Investment Strategy desks-
- Liquidity Price
- o Likelihood of execution
- o Speed o Cost
- Coverage
- Nature of Order Likelihood of settlement
- likelihood of execution may become the primary factor due to a data event or the size of the order.

(b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

- GSAMI is part of a worldwide, full service investment banking, broker-dealer, asset management organisation, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates.
- GSAMI and its affiliates (the Firm) and (where applicable) the personnel of the Firm may:
- (i) have multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in execution venues and brokers used by GSAMI;

(ii) operate execution venues and act as brokers in respect of orders executed for GSAMI's clients.

GSAMI may act in circumstances in which it or one of its affiliates has a material interest or a relationship of any description with another party which may involve an actual or potential conflict with GSAMI's duty to its clients. However, if GSAMI acts in circumstances where it has a material interest or conflict of interests, GSAMI will take all appropriate steps to ensure the clients are treated fairly. In this regard, GSAMI has established, implemented and maintains a written conflicts of interest policy. When trading GSAMI utilised the following investment management Affiliates:

- Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P.
- Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
- Goldman Sachs International
- Goldman Sachs Services Private Limited
- Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Limited • Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.
- GSAM Services Private Limited (formerly Goldman Sachs Asset Management (India) Private Limited)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management Australia Pty Ltd

(c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

• GSAMI did not receive any discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits nor did GSAMI make any payments to execution venues in relation to its use of execution venues.

d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

- There were no material changes to execution venues used by GSAMI over 2018. • GSAM has a process for the selection of (i) execution venues (including brokers) for executing client orders; and (ii) brokers, with whom it places or transmits client orders for execution. GSAM maintains a list of approved execution venues and brokers (the 'Approved List").
- The specific qualitative criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List in 2018, generally varied based on the asset class to be traded, but the overall approval process was applied in the same manner across all asset classes. GSAMI did not conduct any activity with a new execution venue or broker until the screening and approval process had been completed.
- The criteria used to add an execution venue or broker to the Approved List were generally based on the evaluation of a number of quantitative factors that may have included (as applicable), but were not limited to, GSAMI's analysis of the broker or execution venue's:
- competitiveness of commission rates or spreads;
- promptness of execution;
- clearance and settlement capabilities; quality of service;
- willingness to commit capital;
- creditworthiness;
- reputation; financial stability;

(e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats such category of client differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements. GSAMI only has professional clients.

f) An explanation of when other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the

client. GSAMI only has professional clients.

(g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution including any data published under 27(10)(a) of Directive 2014/65/EU.

GSAMI did not use any data or tools for any instrument classes

|) An explanation of how the investment firm has used, if applicable, output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU which will allow for the development of enhanced measures of execution quality or any other gorithms used to optimise and assess execution performances. |
|---|
| N/A |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |